## Case 3:14-cr-00298-M IN PAGUNATE 526TATE SOLUTION Page 1 of 1 PageID 1803 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNIT	TED STAT	ΓES OF AMERICA	)		
VS.			)	CASE NO.3:14-CR-298-M (21) NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TOWN	
MIC	K CLARI	K, Defendant	) ) )	ELL SELECTION DE LEXA	
Informenti charge therest the standard	ared before mation, a ioned in Reged is supperfore reconupersed in metal	CON CLARK, by consent, under the me pursuant to Fed. R. Crin and after cautioning and ex Rule 11, I determined that the corted by an independent back mend that the plea of guilty ag Information, charging a value of Misbranded Drug, and have	PRT AND RECOMMENDATION ICERNING PLEA OF GUILTY  er authority of United States v. Dees, m.P. 11, and has entered a plea of guitamining MICK CLARK under oath he guilty plea was knowledgeable and has is in fact containing each of the essence be accepted, and that MICK CLARI violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 331(a) and a ave sentence imposed accordingly. Af	the to Count 1 of the superseding the concerning each of the subjects devoluntary and that the offense(s) sential elements of such offense. If K be adjudged guilty of Count 1 of 333(a)(2), that is, Possession of an	
	The defendant is currently in custody and should be ordered to remain in custody.				
	convin	The defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(1) unless the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released.			
		I find by clear and convinci	oppose release.  mpliant with the current conditions of ing evidence that the defendant is not least of the released and should therefore be	ikely to flee or pose a danger to any	
			release.  n compliant with the conditions of relections commendation, this matter should be		
	The defendant must be ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) unless (1)(a) the Court finds there is a substantial likelihood that a motion for acquittal or new trial will be granted, or (b) the Government has recommended that no sentence of imprisonment be imposed, or (c) exceptional circumstances are clearly shown under § 3145(c) why the defendant should not be detained, and (2) the Court finds by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released.				
	Date:	January 5, 2015.	_	L. HORAN ES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	

## **NOTICE**

Failure to file written objections to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days from the date of its service shall bar an aggrieved party from attacking such Report and Recommendation before the assigned United States District Judge. 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B).